

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/954,544	(09/12/2001	Henry R. Halperin	212/220	6993	
23371	7590	12/16/2002				
CROCKET	T & CRO	OCKETT	EXAMINER			
24012 CAL SUITE 400			DEMILLE, DANTON D			
LAGUNA I	IILLS, CA	92653		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3764		
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No).	Applicant(s)							
,	,-	09/954,544	•	HALPERIN, HENF	RY R.	(N					
Ò	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit							
		Danton DeMille	•	3764							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply											
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).											
Status 1) <u>□</u> Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on										
<u>'</u>	•	——· his action is non-	final								
l '—	ice this application is in condition for allow			rosecution as to th	e merits is	s					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims											
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.											
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.											
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.											
6)⊠ Clai	<u>-</u>										
7) Clai											
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.											
Application F	Papers										
'	specification is objected to by the Examin										
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.											
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).											
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.											
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.											
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.											
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120											
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).											
a) All b) Some * c) None of:											
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
_	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 											
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).											
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.											
Attachment(s)											
1) Notice of I	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [5) [ry (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT							

Application/Control Number: 09/954,544

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meister in view of Bastyr et al. Meister teaches a band 1, a driver mechanism 6, a cushion 3 and an automatic controller inside the driver mechanism mechanically controlling the timing of the compression and expansion cycles. Meister appears silent with regard to the details of the pads 3. It is not clear what is the composition. Bastyr teaches cushion pads 40, 42, 44, 46, 48, 50 that are fluid filled. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Meister to use fluid filled cushions as taught by Bastyr to provide a soft pad having a hardness and size that is variable.
- 3. Claims 2-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang in view of Meister. Chang teaches a band 10 including a plurality of fluid-receiving cells 24, 26, a reciprocating pump is taught for automatically supplying fluid under pressure to the cells. The only difference appears to be the provision of a sealed cushion between the band and the chest of the patient. Meister teaches a device for compressing the chest and the need for providing compression pads 3 between the band and the chest of the patient. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chang to provide compression pads disposed between the chest of the patient and the band as taught by Meister to enhance the respiration

Application/Control Number: 09/954,544

Art Unit: 3764

producing action of the device. Regarding claims 3, 6, 9, 12, it would have been obvious to provide a sealed cushion so that moisture from the patient doesn't saturate the cushion.

Regarding claims 5, 8, 11, as shown in the drawing of Chang, the two fluid-receiving cells are in fluid communication with each other because the tubes 30 are linked together. The pressure will

ddd 10 December, 2002

(703) 308-3713 Fax: (703) 305-3590

danton.demille@uspto.gov

always be the same in both cells.

Danton DeMille Primary Examiner Art Unit 3764